Embedded Domain-Specific Languages for Bayesian Modeling and Inference



Novel and useful domain-specific languages for solving statistical problems can be embedded in statically-typed, purely-functional programming languages.

Defence

First Claim

The Giry monad¹ is suitable for representing probabilistic semantics in an embedded functional language.

Lawvere (1962), Giry (1981)

Its functorial, applicative, and monadic structure corresponds to image measure, product measure, and marginalization² respectively.

² Ramsey & Pfeffer (2002)

functor (image measure) applicative (product measure) applicative (Dirac measure) monad (marginalize)

 $\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Meas} o \mathbf{Meas} \ \phi: \mathcal{P}(M) \otimes \mathcal{P}(N) o \mathcal{P}(M \otimes N) \ \eta: M o \mathcal{P}(M) \ \gg: \mathcal{P}(M) o (M o \mathcal{P}(N)) o \mathcal{P}(N)$

instance Functor Measure where fmap g nu = Measure (f ->integrate (f . g) nu)

instance Applicative Measure where = Measure ($f \rightarrow f x$) pure x Measure g <*> Measure h = Measure (f ->g (\k -> h (f . k))

instance Monad Measure where return x = Measure $(\f \rightarrow f x)$ rho >>= $g = Measure (\f ->)$ integrate ($\m ->$ integrate f (g m)) rho)

image measure

inverseGamma :: Double -> Double -> Measure Double inverseGamma a b = fmap recip (gamma a b)

product measure (convolution)

chiSq2 :: Measure Double chiSq2 = gsq + gsq where gsq = fmap (^ 2) (gaussian 0 1)

marginalization

betaBinomial :: Int -> Double -> Double -> Measure Int betaBinomial n a b = dop <- beta a b binomial n p

The Giry monad is equivalent to the well-known continuation monad³ when the return type is restricted to the real numbers.

³ Wadler (1994)

newtype Measure a = Measure ((a -> Double) -> Double)

integrate :: (a -> Double) -> Measure a -> Double integrate f (Measure nu) = nu f

continuation

newtype Cont r a = Cont ((a -> r) -> r)

run :: (a -> r) -> Cont r a -> r run f (Cont k) = k f

Second Claim

The probabilistic semantics of the Giry monad are preserved under an abstract or free⁴ representation that faithfully represents probabilistic programs.

See also: Scibior et al (2015)

 $egin{aligned} U:(G,\ldots)\mapsto G\ F:G\mapsto (G,\mu,\eta)\ F\dashv U \end{aligned}$

forgetful functor free monad adjunction

abstract giry functor ($\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Meas} \to \mathbf{Meas}$)

data Prob r =
 Beta Double Double (Double -> r)
 Bernoulli Double (Bool -> r)
 Gaussian Double Double (Double -> r)
 ...

probabilistic program
$$\left(F\mathcal{P}:\mathbf{Meas}
ightarrow\left(\mathcal{P},\int
ight)$$

type Program = Free Prob



example: bayesian regression model

prior = do
intercept <- gaussian 0 10
slope <- gaussian 0 10
variance <- uniform (0, 100)
return (intercept, slope, variance)</pre>

likelihood observations (a, b, v) = do
 let model x = gaussian (a + b * x) (sqrt v)
 for observations model

predictive observations = do
 parameters <- prior
 likelihood observations parameters</pre>



example: gaussian mixture model

```
mixture a b = do
  prob <- beta a b
  heads <- bernoulli prob
  if heads
  then gaussian 0 2
  else gaussian 2 2</pre>
```

example: gaussian mixture model



This abstract probability monad has no probabilistic interpretation tied to it a priori; it is generic and can be ascribed specific interpretations (e.g. probability measure, random variable)⁵ after the fact.

⁵ Ramsey & Pfeffer (2002), Park et al (2008)

measure :: Program a -> Measure a measure = iterM \$ \case Beta a b r -> Measurable.beta a b >>= r Bernoulli p_r -> Measurable.bernoulli p >>= r Gaussian m s r -> Measurable.gaussian m s >>= r • • •

rvar :: Program a -> RandomVariable a rvar = iterM \$ \case Beta a b r -> MWC.beta a b >>= r Bernoulli p r -> MWC.bernoulli p >>= r Gaussian m s r -> MWC.gaussian m s >>= r

• • •

The dual or cofree comonad of the free probability monad represents an execution trace⁶ of a probabilistic program.

⁶ Wingate et al (2011), Mansinghka et al (2014)

$egin{aligned} U:(G,\ldots)\mapsto G\ F':G\mapsto (G, u,\chi)\ U\dashv F' \end{aligned}$

forgetful functor cofree comonad adjunction

 $F\dashv U\dashv F'$

probabilistic node state



execution trace $(F'\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Meas} \to (\mathcal{P}, \partial, X))$

type Trace a = Cofree (Prob a) State

execution trace



Execution traces can be perturbed using standard comonadic machinery in order to perform inference via e.g. single-site MCMC in trace space.

per-node proposal

proposal :: Trace a -> State proposal = <abstract>

comonadic 'extend'

$\Longrightarrow: \mathcal{P}(M) \to (\mathcal{P}(M) \to N) \to \mathcal{P}(N)$

markov transition

transition :: Trace a -> Trace a transition = extend proposal



comonadic duplication



The free applicative functor encodes probabilistic independence such that it can be observed and interpreted statically.

'iid' combinator

model :: Int -> Int -> Double -> Double -> Program [[Bool]] model m n a b = doc <- fmap (+ 1) (beta a b) $d \leq -fmap (+2) (beta a b)$ iid m \$ do p <- beta c d iid n (bernoulli p)

Third Claim

The well-known state monad can be used to represent transition operators for constructing Markov chains.

target function

```
data Target a = Target {
    ltarget :: Vector a -> Double
    , gltarget :: Maybe (Vector a -> Vector a)
  }
```

markov chain state

```
data Markov a = Markov {
    target :: Target a
    , position :: Vector a
    }
```

transition operator

type Transition a = StateT (Markov a) Prob (Vector a)

A simple, shallowly-embedded language consisting of deterministic and random concatenation terms can be used to build compound transition operators.

```
concat :: Transition a -> Transition a -> Transition a
concat s t = s >> t
```

```
sample :: Double -> Transition a -> Transition a -> Transition a
sample p s t = do
  heads <- lift (bernoulli p)</pre>
  if heads
  then s
  else t
```

These compound transitions are guaranteed by construction⁷ to obey the Markov, ergodicity, and detailed balance properties required for MCMC.



use a number of metropolis transitions

transition :: Transition Double
transition = do
metropolis 0.5
metropolis 1.0
metropolis 2.0

```
transition :: Transition Double
transition = do
    slice 0.5
    slice 1.0
    sample 0.5 (slice 0.4) (slice 10.0)
```

occasionally use gradient information

```
transition :: Transition Double
transition = do
  sample 0.8 (metropolis 3.0) (hamiltonian 0.05 20)
 slice 3.0
```

Compound transition operators can be more effective than simple transitions.

simulations



transition

mh-beale mh-radial-beale hmc-beale nuts-beale custom-beale random-beale

$\mathrm{ESS}(x)$	$\mathrm{ESS}(y)$
12	47
26	60
489	663
437	749
31	58
21	64

The language can easily be extended to support techniques such as annealing.

annealing transformer

anneal :: Double -> Transition Double -> Transition Double anneal invtemp = <abstract>

annealed transition

annealed :: Transition Double -> Transition Double annealed transition = doanneal 0.70 transition anneal 0.05 transition anneal 0.05 transition anneal 0.70 transition transition

simulations





Conclusion

The Giry monad captures meaningful probabilistic semantics and allows one to construct an embedded language for probability measures.

Abstract free or cofree representations preserve the probabilistic semantics of the Giry monad and allow for rich interpretation.

The state monad allows one to construct an embedded language for Markov transitions that are useful in MCMC.

Thus: novel and useful domainspecific languages for solving statistical problems can be embedded in statically-typed, purely-functional programming languages.

